



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,845	02/27/2006	Gary Allan Froyland	9378/186 (BHP00PUS01000)	2461
757 7590 02/02/2009 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			EXAMINER JACOB, MARY C	
			ART UNIT 2123	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/02/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/530,845	Applicant(s) FROYLAND ET AL.	
	Examiner MARY C. JACOB	Art Unit 2123	

All Participants:

(1) MARY C. JACOB.

(2) G. Peter Nichols, Reg. No. 34,401.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2009

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

69, 77, 85

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mary C Jacob/
Examiner, Art Unit 2123

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner discussed the amendment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.312 filed 1/21/09. The Examiner pointed out that although claim 69 was amended to recite "receiving...a block model", which is consistent with claim 77, claim 85 still recites "forming a block model". Although the amendment will be entered, the Examiner wanted to discuss with Mr. Nichols whether Applicant intends to amend claim 85 to recite "receiving...a block model" as well. Mr. Nichols responded that he would have to discuss this with the Applicant. The Examiner also said that an additional 1.312 amendment would have to be filed if claim 85 is amended in the future.